

# Guillain-Barré Syndrome and Variants

Mazen M. Dimachkie, MD\*, Richard J. Barohn, MD

## KEYWORDS

- Guillain-Barré syndrome • Acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy
- Acute motor axonal neuropathy • Acute motor and sensory axonal neuropathy
- Miller Fisher syndrome • Bickerstaff brainstem encephalitis • Diagnosis
- Nerve conduction testing

## KEY POINTS

- Besides the classic presentation of ascending paralysis in demyelinating GBS, clinical variants are based on the types of nerve fibers involved (motor, sensory, sensory and motor, cranial, or autonomic); predominant mode of fiber injury (demyelinating vs axonal); and the presence of alteration in consciousness.
- All patients should be treated with either PE or IVIG, even if the disease is mild.
- Although therapy should be initiated within 2 weeks of onset, it is still appropriate to treat patients after 2 weeks, particularly if they are still progressing.
- PE and IVIG are equally effective in shortening the time to independent ambulation but the combination is no more effective.
- Newer prognostic tools are helpful in identifying in the first 2 weeks those at higher risk of poor recovery at 6 months.

## HISTORICAL NOTE

Jean-Baptiste Octave Landry in 1859<sup>1</sup> first described a case of distal sensory “formations” and ascending weakness after a prodromal fever, malaise, and pain who progressed to paralysis over 3 weeks and died from respiratory failure, in addition to another four cases. Sixty years later, Georges Guillain, Jean-Alexandre Barré, and Andre Strohl<sup>2</sup> reported two cases with albuminocytologic dissociation on cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) testing and distinguished this syndrome from poliomyelitis-induced paralysis. Although occasionally referred to as Landry-Guillain-Barré-Strohl syndrome, it is commonly called Guillain-Barré-Strohl syndrome or, more often, Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS), after the two French army neurologists.

---

Department of Neurology, University of Kansas Medical Center, 3599 Rainbow Boulevard, Mail Stop 2012, Kansas City, KS 66160, USA

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [mdimachkie@kumc.edu](mailto:mdimachkie@kumc.edu)

Neurol Clin 31 (2013) 491–510

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ncl.2013.01.005>

[neurologic.theclinics.com](http://neurologic.theclinics.com)

0733-8619/13/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## EPIDEMIOLOGY

GBS is an acute monophasic immune-mediated polyradiculoneuropathy with a mean age of onset of 40 years that affects slightly more males than females of all ages, races, and nationalities. The worldwide incidence of GBS ranges from 0.6 to 4 per 100,000 people.<sup>3-7</sup> A systematic literature review of the epidemiology of GBS found the overall incidence of GBS to be 1.1 to 1.8 per 100,000; however, it was lower in children at 0.34 to 1.34 per 100,000.<sup>8</sup> Compared with younger cases, the incidence of GBS increases after age 50 years from 1.7 to 3.3 per 100,000. Two-thirds of cases of GBS are associated with an antecedent infection. Most cases are sporadic, although summer epidemics in Northern China of the axonal variant with *Campylobacter jejuni* infection were reported. Although 5% of GBS in North America and Europe is caused by axonal GBS,<sup>9</sup> this variant is much more common in Northern China, Japan, and the rest of America.<sup>10-13</sup>

## CLINICAL FEATURES

The most common initial symptom of GBS is acroparesthesia with little objective sensory loss.<sup>14</sup> Severe radicular back pain or neuropathic pain affects most cases. Within a few days, weakness ensues commonly in a symmetric “ascending pattern.” Most patients present initially with leg weakness and arm weakness (32%) or selective proximal and distal leg weakness (56%) often spreading to the arm, whereas some have onset of weakness in the arms (12%). A descending presentation mimicking botulism, with onset in the face or arms, is less common. Besides prominent weakness, patients are hyporeflexic or areflexic within the first few days but this may be delayed by up to a week. Weakness can be somewhat asymmetric, and sensory loss can also be variable, rarely presenting with a pseudosensory level suggesting myelopathy. Facial nerve involvement occurs in up to 70% of cases; dysphagia in 40%; and rarely (5%) patients may develop ophthalmoplegia, ptosis, or both suggesting botulism or myasthenia gravis.<sup>15</sup> Hearing loss, papilledema, and vocal cord paralysis are less common. Axonal GBS occurs in up to one-third of cases and is more likely to be associated with antecedent *C jejuni* infection.

Nadir of weakness is reached within 2 weeks in 50% of cases, and in 90% by 4 weeks.<sup>15</sup> Symptom progression beyond 1 month suggests a subacute inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy, and if progression continues beyond 8 weeks chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP) is a consideration. Some patients progress rapidly to become ventilator dependent within hours or days, whereas others have very mild progression for several weeks and never lose ambulation. Occasional patients have a stuttering or step-wise progression. Weakness ranges from mild to severe flaccid quadriplegia and in up to 30% respiratory failure within a few days of onset. Dysautonomia affects most patients,<sup>15</sup> and consists most commonly of sinus tachycardia, but patients may experience bradycardia, labile blood pressure with hypertension and hypotension, orthostatic hypotension, cardiac arrhythmias, neurogenic pulmonary edema, and changes in sweat. Even more confusing and mimicking a spinal cord lesion are the 5% of cases that experience bladder (urinary retention) and gastrointestinal (constipation, ileus, gastric distention, diarrhea, fecal incontinence) dysfunction. The revised diagnostic criteria have been published (**Table 1**) several years ago and are well established. These include clinical, CSF, and electrophysiologic criteria (discussed later).

Moderate to severe neuropathic or radicular pain is commonly seen in the whole spectrum of GBS including Miller Fisher syndrome (MFS), mildly affected, and pure motor patients.<sup>16</sup> Persistent pain was reported in the 2 weeks preceding weakness

Table 1 Diagnostic criteria of Guillain-Barré syndrome		
Required	Supportive	Exclusionary
Progressive symmetric weakness of >1 limb	Sensory symptoms or signs	Other causes excluded (toxins, botulism, porphyria, diphtheria)
Hyporeflexia or areflexia	Cranial nerve involvement especially bilateral VII	
Progression <4 wk	Autonomic dysfunction	
Symmetric weakness	CSF protein elevation CSF cell count <10/mm <sup>3</sup> Electrophysiologic features of demyelination Recovery	

Data from Barohn RJ. Approach to peripheral neuropathy and neuronopathy. *Sem Neurol* 1998;18:7-18.

in 36% of patients, whereas 66% reported pain in the acute phase and 38% reported pain after 1 year. The mean pain was most intense in patients with non-MFS GBS, those with sensory disturbances, and in severely affected patients.

## GBS VARIANTS

Besides classic presentation of GBS, clinical variants are based on the types of nerve fibers involved (motor, sensory, sensory and motor, cranial, or autonomic); predominant mode of fiber injury (demyelinating vs axonal); and the presence of alteration in consciousness. The first GBS variant was MFS and consists of ophthalmoplegia, ataxia, and areflexia without any weakness.<sup>17</sup> Most of the patients with MFS present with at least two features and have in support an elevated CSF protein and characteristic autoantibody. Although MFS represents 5% to 10% of GBS cases in Western countries, it is more common in Eastern Asia, accounting for up to 25% of Japanese cases.<sup>18</sup> Some MFS cases may progress to otherwise classic GBS. In addition, 5% of typical GBS cases may have ophthalmoplegia. Bickerstaff brainstem encephalitis (BBE) is a variant of MFS characterized by alteration in consciousness, paradoxical hyperreflexia, ataxia, and ophthalmoparesis.<sup>19</sup> BBE cases represent a variant of MFS with antecedent infection (92%); elevated CSF protein (59%); and anti-GQ1b antibody (66%).<sup>20,21</sup> Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) abnormalities are present in only 30% of BBE cases<sup>21</sup> and the frequency of BBE variant is 10% of that of MFS.<sup>22</sup> The pharyngeal-cervical-brachial motor variant manifests in up to 3% with ptosis, facial, pharyngeal, and neck flexor muscle weakness that spreads to the arms and spares leg strength, sensation, and reflexes thereby mimicking botulism. A less common paraparetic motor variant affects the legs selectively with areflexia mimicking an acute spinal cord lesion and is associated with back pain.<sup>23</sup> Other rare variants include ptosis without ophthalmoplegia, and facial diplegia or sixth nerve palsies with paresthesias.<sup>23,24</sup> Pure sensory ataxic and pandysautonomic variants are also less commonly reported without predominant weakness.

After the first detailed description of an axonal variant of GBS,<sup>25</sup> an axonal motor variant of GBS termed "acute motor axonal neuropathy" (AMAN) was reported in 1993 from Northern China, hence the name Chinese paralytic illness.<sup>11</sup> Soon after that, reports of an acute motor and sensory axonal neuropathy (AMSAN) were published.<sup>26</sup> Since then, these axonal variants have also been described from other

countries. AMAN and AMSAN are associated with *C jejuni* infection, which is alone a poor prognostic factor.<sup>27</sup> As a group, patients with AMAN have a more rapid progression of weakness to an earlier nadir than in acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (AIDP) resulting in prolonged paralysis and respiratory failure over a few days.<sup>28</sup> AMAN can present with transient conduction block without axonal loss, and this led to the term “acute motor conduction block neuropathy.” In this AMAN variant, patients present with symmetric proximal and distal weakness without sensory abnormalities after *C jejuni* enteritis and may have normal or brisk tendon reflexes. The first two described cases had elevated titers of IgG antibody to GD1a and GM1 and serial nerve conduction studies (NCS) have shown transient partial conduction block in intermediate and distal nerve segments that dissipated within 2 to 5 weeks.<sup>29</sup>

## **PATHOGENESIS**

Although GBS is presumed to be autoimmune, the precise molecular pathogenesis of GBS and its variants is uncertain. Data have implicated essentially every component of the cellular and humoral immune systems. GBS is a complex autoimmune disease of especially the proximal peripheral nerves and the nerve roots mediated in AIDP by lymphocytic mononuclear cell infiltration and intense macrophage-associated segmental demyelination. Much of the evidence for disease pathogenesis is derived from experimental allergic neuritis, which is the working animal model of GBS and is caused by a combination of T-cell-mediated autoimmunity to myelin proteins and antibodies to myelin glycolipids. Antibodies to peripheral nerve myelin were identified in the sera of patients with GBS with a decline in titers corresponding to clinical improvement. Antibodies to myelin glycolipids indicate humoral autoimmunity in GBS variants. An autopsy study supporting humoral autoimmunity demonstrated an antibody-mediated complement deposition on the Schwann cell abaxonal plasma-lemma but not on the myelin sheath followed by vesicular paranodal myelin degeneration and retraction.<sup>30</sup> Macrophages are then recruited to strip off the myelin lamellae. Bystander axon loss may occur with severe inflammation.<sup>15</sup>

Unlike AIDP, AMAN is characterized by the paucity of lymphocytic infiltration and sparing of the dorsal nerve roots, dorsal root ganglia, and peripheral sensory nerves. The two early changes are the lengthening of the node of Ranvier followed by the recruitment of macrophages to the nodal region.<sup>31</sup> Nodal lengthening is reversible and results in impaired electrical impulse transmission caused by the absence of sodium channels, as in acute conduction block neuropathy. Subsequently, complement activation results in macrophage recruitment. Macrophages distort paranodal axons and myelin sheaths, separate myelin from the axolemma, and induce condensation of axoplasm in a reversible fashion. Alternatively, motor axons may undergo wallerian-like degeneration in severe cases, explaining the delayed recovery in some AMAN cases, which is still more readily accomplished given the involvement of distal motor nerve terminals. However, AMAN can be fatal and in seven such cases IgG and complement activation products were identified bound to the nodal axolemma of motor fibers. The suspected target autoantigen is likely GD1a because IgG antibodies to GD1a are detectable in 60% of AMAN cases and only 4% of AIDP.<sup>32</sup> Molecular mimicry is suggested because the pathogenetic mechanism of AMAN based on the strong association with *C jejuni* infection. The lipopolysaccharide capsule of the *C jejuni* shares epitopes with GM1 and GD1a resulting in cross-reacting antibodies. GM1 is found in high concentration at the nodes of Ranvier, where antibody binding might be particularly disruptive to nerve function. AMSAN shares

many similarities with AMAN, although the attack in AMSAN is more severe or longer lasting resulting in more intense and ultimately diffuse wallerian-like degeneration of sensory and motor axons. In addition to AMAN and AMSAN, molecular mimicry is the most plausible mechanism in MFS where 90% of cases have antibodies to GQ1b. These autoantibodies have also been described in most BBE cases.<sup>21</sup>

### ANTECEDENT EVENTS

An antecedent infection is noted 2 to 4 weeks before the onset in most GBS cases.<sup>30</sup> The most common are upper respiratory infections without any specific organism identified. Known viral precipitants, such as Epstein-Barr virus (mononucleosis or hepatitis) and cytomegalovirus (CMV), occur in only 6% of cases. CMV affects younger patients with cranial neuropathies, severe disease, and a higher likelihood of respiratory failure. In HIV, GBS occurs at the time of seroconversion or early in the disease. When suspected, it is important to obtain an HIV viral load measure through polymerase chain reaction, which is more sensitive than HIV antibodies. Bacterial infections, such as those caused by *Mycoplasma pneumonia* and Lyme disease, are rarely associated with GBS.

*Campylobacter jejuni* enteritis is the most common identifiable antecedent infection and precedes axonal GBS in up to 33% of patients. Because GBS develops about 9 days after the initial gastroenteritis, stool cultures for *C jejuni* are often negative but serologic evidence of recent infection remains. Although 2 million cases of *C jejuni* infection occur each year in the United States, only about 1 per 1000 of these patients have the genetic susceptibility to develop GBS<sup>33</sup> in association with specific HLA haplotypes.<sup>34</sup> Other anecdotal antecedent events that have been associated with GBS include surgery; epidural anesthesia; concurrent illnesses, such as Hodgkin's disease; and immunizations.

There was an increased incidence of GBS after the swine flu vaccine of 1976 in the United States with an excess risk of 10 cases per million vaccinations.<sup>35</sup> In the 1992 to 1993 and 1993 to 1994 seasons, the increased incidence of GBS within 6 weeks of the administration of influenza vaccine led to an estimated excess of one GBS case per million immunizations based on an adjusted relative risk of 1.7.<sup>36</sup> Besides influenza, the hepatitis vaccine has been associated with GBS but less frequently than the flu vaccine.<sup>37</sup> With the 2009 to 2010 H1N1 immunization campaign, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance data identified an excess GBS risk of 0.8 cases per million vaccinations,<sup>38</sup> which is similar to the risk conferred by seasonal influenza immunization. The 2009 H1N1 influenza virus has been associated with a hospitalization rate of 222 per million and a death rate of 9.7 per million inhabitants. Therefore, the risk of this illness outweighs the risk of the vaccines. A more complex question is whether patients who have experienced GBS within 6 weeks of influenza immunization should be allowed to be reimmunized with the flu vaccine a year later. In such cases, the established benefits of influenza vaccination might outweigh the risks for those who have a history of GBS and who also are at high risk for severe complications from influenza itself.<sup>39</sup> The limited available data suggest that if a patient's GBS episode was associated with the influenza vaccine, most will do well when rechallenged. There may be a small risk (3.5%) of a repeat episode but the frequency of serious GBS recurrence requiring admission is about 1.2%.<sup>40</sup>

### ELECTROPHYSIOLOGIC FEATURES

When GBS is suspected, electrophysiologic studies are essential to confirm the diagnosis and exclude its mimics. The differential of pure motor syndrome includes other

diseases associated with quadriplegia and paralysis, such as myasthenic crisis, acute presentation of the idiopathic inflammatory myopathies, and the unusual motor neuron disease patient presenting with acute respiratory failure. Associated clinical features are often helpful in distinguishing these from GBS. The finding of multifocal demyelination on early electrodiagnostic testing (or repeated a week later) is extremely helpful in confirming the diagnosis of AIDP with a high sensitivity and specificity. Needle electrode examination is nonspecific because it demonstrates reduced recruitment initially and fibrillations potentials 3 to 4 weeks after onset.

The earliest findings in AIDP are prolonged F-wave latencies or poor F-wave repeatability caused by demyelination of the nerve roots. This is followed by prolonged distal latencies (caused by distal demyelination) and temporal dispersion or conduction block. Slowing of nerve conduction velocities is less helpful because it tends to appear 2 to 3 weeks after the onset. However, the sensitivity of NCS based on reported criteria may be as low as 22% in early AIDP,<sup>41</sup> rising to 87% at 5 weeks into the illness.<sup>42</sup> There are several reasons for limited sensitivity of NCS in AIDP. First, the common sites of demyelination are at the level of the nerve roots, most distal nerve segments, and at entrapment sites. The nerve root is outside the reach of routine NCS, and entrapment sites are usually excluded when assessing the diagnosis of AIDP. However, slowing of nerve conduction velocities at multiple common entrapment sites is unusual in an otherwise normal young adult and may therefore support the clinical impression of GBS. Second, the number of motor nerves studied or those with an elicited response may be inadequate and finding prolongation of blink reflex latencies may be helpful. Finally, changes in the sensory NCS lag behind the motor abnormalities. However, a potential clue is the preservation of a normal sural nerve response when the median and ulnar sensory potentials are reduced in amplitude or absent.<sup>42</sup> A variety of motor NCS criteria have been published to optimize sensitivity while maintaining specificity (**Table 2**). A comparison of 10 published sets of criteria in 53 patients with AIDP, with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and diabetic polyneuropathy control subjects, yielded a new set with 72% sensitivity and 100% specificity.<sup>43</sup> Clinicians should not expect each patient with AIDP to meet strict research criteria for demyelination, particularly early in the course. Because treatment is most effective when given earlier, patients with GBS should be treated based on clinical suspicion after the exclusion of potential mimics (**Box 1**).

In AMAN, Compound muscle action potential (CMAP) amplitudes are significantly reduced in the first few days and then in severe cases become absent.<sup>25</sup> It is difficult in AMAN to ascertain if the absence of CMAP is caused by axon loss, conduction block from sodium channel dysfunction distal to the most distal stimulation site, or an immune attack on the nodes of Ranvier. For this reason, fibrillation potentials may occur early on in the course of AMAN and needle electrode examination is helpful. In AMAN, nerve conduction testing may alternatively show transient partial conduction block in intermediate and distal nerve segments that disappears within 2 to 5 weeks.<sup>29</sup> In AMSAN the sensory potentials are reduced in amplitude and often absent.<sup>26</sup> Absence of H-reflexes may be the only abnormality in 75% of cases of MFS and BBE.<sup>22</sup>

## LABORATORY FEATURES

Routine laboratory testing is unrevealing in GBS, such as a mild and nonspecific elevation of creatine kinase or transaminases. Hyponatremia should in the proper setting raise suspicion for porphyria or syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone. Marked vomiting, delayed hair loss, or Mees lines may support the need for heavy metal testing.

**Table 2**  
GBS electrophysiologic criteria

Amplitude	Percent Conduction Velocity Slowing			Percent Distal Latency Prolongation			Percent F-Wave Latency Prolongation			Amplitude Conduction Block		Abnormal Temporal Dispersion		Abnormal Parameters Required
	≥80% of LLN	<80% of LLN	No. of Nerves	≥80% of LLN	>80% of LLN	No. of Nerves	≥80% of LLN	<80% of LLN	No. of Nerves	%	No. of Nerves	%	No. of Nerves	
Albers, <sup>42</sup> 1985	>5	>15	2	>10	>20	2	>20	>20	2	>30	2	>30	1	1
Albers, <sup>44</sup> 1989	>10	>20	2	>15	>25	2	>25	>25	1	>30	1	>30	1	3
Asbury & Cornblath, <sup>41</sup> 1990	>20	>30	2	>25	>50	2	>20	>50	2	>20 <sup>a</sup>	1	>15	1	3
Hadden, <sup>9</sup> 1998	>10 <sup>b</sup>	>15	2	>10	>20 <sup>c</sup>	2	>20	>20	2	>50 <sup>d</sup>	2	—	—	1
Van denBergh, <sup>43</sup> 2004	>30	>30	2	>50	>50	2	>25	>50	2	>50 <sup>d</sup>	2 <sup>e</sup>	>30	2	1

Abbreviation: LLN, lower limit of normal.

<sup>a</sup> By area or amplitude.

<sup>b</sup> Distal amplitude >50% LLN.

<sup>c</sup> Distal amplitude less than LLN.

<sup>d</sup> Distal amplitude >20% of LLN.

<sup>e</sup> Alternatively one finding with another NCS abnormality.

**Box 1****Mimics of GBS presenting as quadriparesis<sup>a</sup>**

1. Anterior horn cell: poliomyelitis or West Nile virus infection (asymmetric weakness)
2. Peripheral nerve
  - a. Critical illness neuropathy
  - b. Lymphoma/leptomeningeal carcinomatous meningitis
  - c. Toxic neuropathies: solvent or heavy metals
  - d. Porphyria
  - e. Lyme
  - f. Diphtheria
  - g. Vasculitic neuropathy
3. Neuromuscular junction
  - a. Myasthenia gravis
  - b. Botulism
  - c. Tick paralysis (children)
4. Muscle
  - a. Idiopathic inflammatory myopathies
  - b. Periodic paralysis
  - c. Critical illness myopathy
  - d. Rhabdomyolysis
  - e. Severe hypokalemia or hypophosphatemia
5. Acute spinal cord lesion

<sup>a</sup> Psychogenic is an exclusion diagnosis.

CSF analysis is critically important in all GBS cases and reveals albuminocytologic dissociation, an elevated protein up to 1800 mg/dL<sup>45</sup> with 10 or less white cells in most cases. Half of GBS cases may have a normal CSF protein in the first week but that proportion declines to 10% if the test is repeated a week later.<sup>15,46</sup> Pleocytosis of 10 to 20 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> is seen in approximately 5% of cases and should not dissuade one from a diagnosis if the clinical and electrodiagnostic features are otherwise typical. If there are more than 50 cell/per mm<sup>3</sup> particularly 2 weeks after the onset of symptoms, one should consider early HIV infection, leptomeningeal carcinomatosis, CMV polyradiculitis, and sarcoidosis. Most MFS cases and half of BBE cases have albuminocytologic dissociation.<sup>22</sup>

Most cases of *C jejuni* enteritis are self-limited, resolving after several days, and require no specific treatment. Although antimicrobial therapy can hasten the clearance of *C jejuni* from the stool,<sup>47</sup> there is no evidence to suggest that such treatment has an effect on GBS after the onset of neuropathic symptoms. Therefore, stool cultures or antibody measurements of *C jejuni* do not change management of GBS cases but may indicate a less favorable prognosis for recovery.

Antibodies to GM1 gangliosides have been described more frequently in AMAN and some of the reports correlated coexistence of GM1 antibodies and AMAN with greater functional disability at 6 months.<sup>48</sup> *C jejuni* has GM1-like oligosaccharides on its surface



that may cross-react with GM1, explaining why an antibody directed against the bacteria may also produce a neuropathy.<sup>49</sup> In another study, all three patients with GBS with poor recovery and inability to walk at 1 year had serologic evidence of recent *C jejuni* infection but no antibodies to GM1 or GD1b,<sup>50</sup> indicating that patients with GBS with antibodies to GM1 or GD1b may have excellent recovery. Antibodies to GM1 or GD1b do not necessarily mediate the extensive axonal damage seen in severely affected patients. However, IgG antibodies to GD1a are highly associated with AMAN, being detectable in 60% of AMAN cases and only 4% of AIDP.<sup>32</sup>

GT1a antibodies correlate with the presence of bulbar signs and symptoms and may be seen with BBE in addition to GQ1b antibodies. Although antibody testing in GBS is not recommended, MFS is a notable exception<sup>51,52</sup> because polyclonal GQ1b antibodies are highly sensitive and specific to MFS but can also be seen in typical GBS cases with prominent ophthalmoparesis. These may also be seen in GBS cases with marked ophthalmoparesis and in 66% of BBE cases.<sup>18</sup>

Gadolinium-enhanced MRI scan of the lumbosacral spine reveals cauda equina nerve root enhancement in most AIDP cases.<sup>53,54</sup> MRI can be especially useful in the paraparetic variant of GBS because it establishes the site of the lesion in the setting of typically unrevealing NCS.

## TREATMENT

### *General Supportive Care*

Observational studies and expert opinion consensus provide guidance to the general management of GBS.<sup>55</sup> Given that up to 30% of GBS cases progress to respiratory failure, good supportive care is the most important element of management. Patients with GBS are mostly admitted to the neurologic intensive care unit or an intermediary care telemetry unit to allow for close and frequent monitoring of respiratory, bulbar, and autonomic function. A rapid decline of the expiratory forced vital capacities to less than 15 mL/kg of ideal body weight (adjusted for age) or of the negative inspiratory force to less than 60 cm H<sub>2</sub>O each indicate the need for urgent intubation and mechanical ventilation before hypoxemia supervenes.<sup>15</sup> This is associated with marked weakness of neck muscles and inability to count out loud till 20. Patients with severe dysphagia may require nasogastric or feeding tubes. Intubation should also be considered for patients who cannot handle their secretions or who have an ineffective cough. After 2 weeks of intubation, tracheostomy should be considered in those without improved pulmonary mechanics. In those intubated but with improved pulmonary parameters at 2 weeks, an additional week of intubation may be judicious to allow for successful weaning from the ventilator.<sup>55</sup> It is important when managing autonomic instability to be conservative and avoid aggressively treating blood pressure fluctuations because patients are sensitive to medications and use of long-acting antihypertensives is contraindicated. For those with marked radicular back pain or neuropathic pain refractory to acetaminophen or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, treatment with pain-modulating drugs, such as antidepressants, gabapentin, pregabalin, carbamazepine, tramadol, and mexiletene, is indicated.<sup>55</sup> Bed-ridden patients should have deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis with compressive hose or anticoagulants in the form of subcutaneous heparin or enoxaprin. Bedside passive range of motion can help prevent muscle contractures in paralyzed patients but it is also important to be mindful that these patients are most often alert and cognitively intact. A means for communication must be established for patients who are on mechanical ventilation. Vigilance toward urinary and pulmonary infections is important because most severe cases develop one or the other. Treatment with

plasmapheresis or intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) is indicated for patients with weakness impairing function or any respiratory involvement. Before initiating any of these therapies, patients and their families should be educated that it takes on average 2 to 3 months for patients to walk without aids no matter what therapy is used.

### **Plasma Exchange**

Plasma exchange (PE) directly removes humoral factors, such as autoantibodies, immune complexes, complement, cytokines, and other nonspecific inflammatory mediators, and was the first treatment shown in randomized controlled trials to be effective in GBS (**Table 3**).<sup>56,57</sup> In both studies, PE performed within 2 weeks from symptom onset consistently demonstrated a statistically significant reduction in the time to weaning from the ventilator by 13 to 14 days and time to walk unaided by 32 to 41 days. In addition, the French Cooperative Group showed a reduction in the proportion of patients who required assisted ventilation, a decrease in the time to onset of motor recovery, and a reduction in time to walk with assistance.<sup>56</sup> The Guillain-Barré Syndrome Study Group identified similar benefits with more PE recipients improved at 4 weeks, and the one-grade improvement occurring 3 weeks earlier.<sup>56,57</sup> The volume of PE is well-defined at 50 mL/kg administered five times, daily or every other day for 5 to 10 days, totaling 250 mL/kg. PE beyond the standard amount does not offer additional benefits.<sup>58</sup> The French Cooperative Group on Plasma Exchange in Guillain-Barré Syndrome showed that patients with mild GBS on admission (could walk with or without aid but not run, or those who could stand up unaided) would benefit from two PEs.<sup>58</sup> For those who could not stand up unaided (moderate group), four PEs were more beneficial than two for time to walk with assistance (median, 20 vs 24 days) and for 1-year full

**Table 3**  
Guillain-Barré syndrome: North American and French plasmapheresis trials

	Plasma Exchange <sup>a</sup>	Control <sup>a</sup>
North American (1985)		
Number of patients	122	123
Time to improve one grade	19 d	40 d
Time to walk unaided, all patients	53 d	85 d
Time to walk unaided, respirator	97 d	169 d
Time on ventilator	9 d	23 d
% Improved one grade at 1 mo	59%	39%
% Improved at 6 mo	97%	87%
French (1987)		
Number of patients	109	111
Time to weaning	18 d	31 d
Time to walk unaided	70 d	111 d
Time in hospital	28 d	45 d
% Patients to ventilator after entry	21%	42%

<sup>a</sup> All differences in both columns are statistically significant.

Data from Guillain-Barré Syndrome Study Group. Plasmapheresis and acute Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Neurology* 1985;35:1096–104; and French Cooperative Group on Plasma Exchange in Guillain-Barré Syndrome: role of replacement fluids. *Ann Neurol* 1987;22:753–61.

muscle-strength recovery rate (64% vs 46%). Six exchanges were no more beneficial than four in the severe mechanically ventilated GBS cases.

PE is performed at specialized centers and involves removing 3 to 6 L of plasma over several hours and replacing it with preferably albumin or in some cases fresh frozen plasma. Limitations include intravenous access because it requires large double-lumen catheter through subclavian, internal jugular, or femoral venous access. Potential complications include pneumothorax, hypotension, sepsis, pulmonary embolism, hemorrhage from vein puncture, low platelets, prolonged clotting parameters, hypocalcemia, citrate toxicity, and anemia. For a 70-kg adult, the total exchange volume is approximately 15,000 mL. During PE, it is important to monitor blood pressure, pulse, and amount of fluid intake and output. We obtain daily complete blood count, platelets, calcium, prothrombin time, partial thromboplastin time, and international normalized ratio and hold apheresis 1 to 2 days if coagulation parameters become abnormal.

Although the PE-treated groups in the North American and French studies did better than control subjects, the time to walk and to discharge and the time spent on a ventilator were still fairly long, even in PE-treated patients. Therefore, physicians, patients, and family members need to have realistic expectations about the extent of the effect of PE and IVIG (discussed next). Dramatic improvement within days of beginning treatment is not the rule and if this occurs, it may have happened regardless of treatment.

### ***Intravenous Immunoglobulin***

The postulated mechanisms of action of IVIG in neuromuscular disorders include interference with costimulatory molecules involved in antigen presentation and modulation of autoantibodies, cytokines and adhesion molecules production, and macrophage Fc receptor. It also disrupts complement activation and membrane attack complex formation.<sup>59</sup> Sialylated IgG Fc fragments are important for the *in vivo* activity of IVIG<sup>60</sup> because they initiate an anti-inflammatory cascade through the lectin receptor SIGN-R1 or DC-SIGN. This leads to upregulated surface expression of the inhibitory Fc receptor, Fc gamma receptor IIb, on inflammatory cells, thereby attenuating autoantibody-initiated inflammation.

The first large study to demonstrate a favorable response to IVIG in GBS was by the Dutch Guillain-Barré Study Group two decades ago.<sup>61</sup> They compared the efficacy of IVIG with PE in 147 patients and there was no control group. Their results showed not only that IVIG was effective but that it was possibly more effective than PE (**Table 4**).

	Dutch		North American	
	IVIG	PE	PE	Control
Total patients	74	73	108	120
Improved one grade (4 wk)	53%	34%	59%	39%
Days to improve one grade (median)	27	41	19	40
Days to grade 2	55	69	19	40
Number of multiple complications	5	6	—	—
Ventilator dependent by Week 2	27%	42%	—	—

*Data from* Guillain-Barré Syndrome Study Group. Plasmapheresis and acute Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Neurology* 1985;35:1096–104; and French Cooperative Group on Plasma Exchange in Guillain-Barré syndrome: role of replacement fluids. *Ann Neurol* 1987;22:753–61.

However, there may have been a group imbalance to account for the later because PE efficacy in the Dutch trial did not match up with that of the North American study, such as in the rate of one-grade improvement at 4 weeks. A subsequent larger study by the Plasma Exchange and Sandoglobulin Guillain-Barré Syndrome Trial Group<sup>62</sup> has conclusively shown that there is no difference between the outcomes with IVIG or PE.

The total dose of IVIG is 2 g/kg administered over 2 to 5 days. Because most patients with GBS are in the hospital for longer than 2 days, there is probably no advantage to giving it in less than 5 days for this disorder. Although the side effects are usually mild, the infusions are generally better tolerated if given over 5 days. We closely monitor patients with the first infusion, starting at a very slow rate of 25 to 50 mL/h for 30 minutes and increasing it progressively by 50 mL/h every 15 to 20 minutes up to 150 to 200 mL/h. Mild reactions (headache, nausea, chills, myalgia, chest discomfort, back pain) occur in 10% and are improved with slowing the infusion rate and are preventable with premedication with acetaminophen, Benadryl, and if need be intravenous methylprednisolone. Moderate rare reactions include chemical meningitis, neutropenia and delayed red, macular skin reaction of the palms, soles, and trunk with desquamation. Acute renal failure is uncommon and related to patient dehydration and the prior use of sucrose or maltose diluents. Other severe and rare reactions are anaphylaxis, stroke, myocardial infarction, or pulmonary emboli caused by hyperviscosity syndrome. The latter is more likely to occur in old age, immobility, diabetes, thrombocytopenia, hypercholesterolemia, hypergammaglobulinemia, and cryoglobulinemia. We avoid using IVIG in patients with several of these risk factors and place IVIG recipients on 81-mg daily aspirin prophylactically. Total IgA deficiency is extremely rare but such patients may experience anaphylaxis when given IVIG. However, obtaining quantitative IgA levels is not practical in this urgent scenario. Manufacturers take steps to eliminate the possibility of hepatitis virus transmission (heat pasteurization and solvent or detergent inactivation), so this potential issue has been eliminated. There has never been a reported case of HIV infection transmitted by IVIG. Nanofiltration and caprylate treatment reduce the risk of prion disease transmission.

Two reports raised the issue of relapses after treatment with IVIG,<sup>63,64</sup> also referred to as “treatment-related fluctuations,” causing confusion for doctors attempting to make a rational treatment decision for a patient with GBS. However, relapses had also been reported with PE.<sup>57</sup> In the French study, the PE group had a relapse rate of 5.5% compared with 1% for the control group.<sup>58</sup> Physicians have to accept that rarely some patients with GBS may have minor relapses. Although the relapse rate may be slightly higher with either IVIG or PE compared with no treatment, the weight of all available clinical and research evidence indicates it is better to treat patients with GBS than not to treat. PE and IVIG are equally effective, but in the hemodynamically unstable patient, PE is contraindicated and furthermore IVIG is more often readily available in most hospitals.

### ***PE Followed by IVIG***

The management of the patient with severe GBS who does not improve 10 to 14 days after PE or IVIG is problematic. The Plasma Exchange Sandoglobulin Guillain-Barré study group conducted a multicenter trial comparing PE monotherapy, IVIG monotherapy, and PE followed by IVIG.<sup>65</sup> Combined treatment produced no significant difference in patient outcomes compared with either therapy given alone (**Table 5**). This study also showed that PE and IVIG treatments were equally effective in GBS and found no significant difference in the incidence of side effects, thus further settling

	PE	IVIG	PE Followed by IVIG
Total patients	121	130	128
Days to walk unaided	49	51	40
Median days to hospital discharge	63	53	51
% Unable to walk unaided after 48 wk	16.7	16.5	13.7
Median days to stop artificial ventilation	29	26	18
Deaths	4.1%	4.6%	6.3%

From The Dutch Guillain-Barré Study Group. Treatment of Guillain-Barré syndrome with high-dose immune globulins combined with methylprednisolone: a pilot study. *Ann Neurol* 1994;35(6):749–52; with permission.

the lingering question from the Dutch IVIG study. Based on that, there is no added benefit in treating PE recipients subsequently with IVIG.<sup>65</sup>

### **Corticosteroids**

Corticosteroids (CS) are of no benefit in the treatment of GBS. In one of the early studies, patients treated with oral CS did worse than the control subjects.<sup>66</sup> Intravenous methylprednisolone was evaluated in GBS in three studies. In the large randomized British study, 124 patients received methylprednisolone, 500 mg daily, for 5 days within 15 days of onset and 118 patients received placebo<sup>67</sup> and about half the patients in both groups received PE. There was no difference between the two groups in the degree of improvement at 4 weeks or in secondary outcome measures. The researchers concluded that “a short course of high-dose methylprednisolone given early in GBS is ineffective.” In the second study, a smaller Dutch open-label pilot study<sup>68</sup> suggested that 25 patients receiving intravenous methylprednisolone and IVIG did better than 74 patients from the earlier Dutch study who received IVIG alone. This led to a randomized controlled study by the Dutch group in patients unable to walk independently and who had been treated within 14 days after onset of weakness with IVIG to receive either intravenous methylprednisolone (500 mg/day; n = 116) or placebo (n = 117) for 5 days within 48 hours of administration of first dose of IVIG.<sup>69</sup> There was no statistically significant difference between the groups in the pre-specified primary outcome measure of improvement from baseline in GBS disability score of one or more grades at 4 weeks after randomization (68% in the methylprednisolone group vs 56% in the control subjects;  $P = .06$ ). Thus, intravenous CS is not recommended therapy for GBS.

### **American Academy of Neurology Practice Parameters**

The American Academy of Neurology<sup>70</sup> recommends PE for nonambulant adult patients with GBS who seek treatment within 4 weeks of the onset of symptoms (level A). PE should also be considered for ambulant patients examined within 2 weeks of the onset of symptoms (level B). IVIG is recommended for nonambulant adult patients with GBS within 2 (level A) or possibly 4 weeks (level B) of the onset of neuropathic symptoms. It also indicates that sequential treatment with PE followed by IVIG, or immunoabsorption followed by IVIG, is not recommended for patients with GBS. CSs are not recommended for the management of GBS. In children with severe GBS, PE and IVIG are treatment options.

## PROGNOSIS

Most patients with GBS begin to recover at 28 days with mean time to complete recovery being 200 days in 80% of cases. However, many (65%) have minor residual signs or symptoms often making recovery less than complete.<sup>15,46</sup> Besides that, major residual neurologic deficits affect 10% to 15% of patients. In a study of 79 cases a year after the onset of GBS, 8% had died (all older than 60); 4% remained bedbound or ventilator dependent; 9% were unable to walk unaided; 17% were unable to run; and 62% had made a complete or almost complete recovery.<sup>71</sup>

In most GBS cases with complete to almost complete recovery, functionally significant residual deficits are commonly detectable on careful evaluation. Forty patients with GBS were compared at a mean of 7 years after the acute attack with 40 healthy control subjects showing residual neuropathy affecting large- and medium-sized myelinated motor and sensory fibers in approximately half of all patients.<sup>72</sup> There was also a trend toward impaired self-reported physical health status, and other long-term studies have demonstrated similar functionally relevant neurologic deficits up to 7 years after the acute GBS attack. These deficits were predominantly in the lower extremities and in some cases there was evidence of persistent dysautonomia.<sup>73,74</sup>

Five percent of GBS cases succumb to their illness because of complications of critical illness (infections, adult respiratory distress syndrome, pulmonary embolism), and rarely dysautonomia. The relapse rate is 5% and it usually occurs within the first 8 weeks. The alternative diagnosis of relapsing-remitting CIDP should be considered in relapsing cases.<sup>75</sup> When the first relapse is delayed by more than 2 months after an acute attack or the number of relapses exceeds two instances, either should raise suspicion for relapsing-remitting (CIDP).<sup>76</sup> Further clues favoring CIDP in relapsing cases include maintaining the ability to ambulate independently at nadir, absence of cranial nerve dysfunction, and the presence of marked demyelinating slowing on NCS.

Slowed recovery and a reduced likelihood of walking unaided at 6 months may be attributable to a suboptimal increase in IgG levels at 2 weeks after infusion.<sup>75</sup> After a standard dose of IVIG treatment, patients with GBS show a large variation in its pharmacokinetics, which is thought to be related to clinical outcome. In a retrospective analysis of 174 patients with GBS enrolled previously in a randomized controlled clinical trial, patients with a minor increase of serum IgG level 2 weeks after standard single IVIG dose recovered significantly slower.<sup>75</sup> Additionally, fewer of these patients reached the ability to walk unaided at 6 months after correction for known clinical prognostic factors. This may indicate that patients with a small increase in serum IgG level at 2 weeks could benefit from a higher dosage or second course of IVIG, but this hypothesis is yet to be tested in a prospectively designed study.

McKhann and colleagues<sup>77</sup> identified four factors that indicated a poor prognosis in the North American GBS study (regardless of whether patients received plasmapheresis): (1) older age (>50–60); (2) rapid onset before presentation within 7 days; (3) the need for mechanical ventilation; and (4) severely reduced distal motor amplitudes (to 20% or less of the lower limit of normal). A preceding diarrheal illness with *C jejuni* can be added to this list but not GM1 autoantibodies. A preceding infection with CMV may also result in a delayed recovery (see **Table 5**).<sup>78</sup>

Recently, the Erasmus GBS outcome score was derived from data of 388 patients enrolled in two randomized controlled trials and one pilot study.<sup>79</sup> This 1 to 7 score consists of three items: (1) age (0 = up to 40 years, 0.5 = 41–60 years, or 1 = for age >60); (2) preceding diarrhea (0 or 1); and (3) modified GBS disability score at 2 weeks after entry (1–5). This score obtained at 2 weeks was validated in another GBS sample as a predictor of the probability of independent ambulation at 6 months.

Predictions corresponding to these prognostic scores ranged from 1% to 83% for the inability to walk independently at 6 months with a very good discriminative ability (area under the curve, 0.85) in both data sets (**Box 2**). Of patients with an Erasmus GBS outcome score of 5 at 2 weeks, 27% are unable to walk independently at 6 months, whereas a score of 5.5 to 7 markedly raises that proportion to 52%. More recently, an earlier clinical model in the first week of disease accurately predicted the outcome of GBS in 397 patients at 6 months.<sup>80</sup> High age (>60), preceding diarrhea, and low Medical Research Council sumscore (<31; range, 0–60 scale) at hospital admission and at 1 week were independently associated with being unable to walk at 4 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months.

Most patients with AMAN have more delayed recovery than AIDP,<sup>81</sup> whereas some cases recover quicker.<sup>82</sup> Motor nerve terminal degeneration provides a potential mechanism for rapid recovery in AMAN after *Campylobacter* infection. In the later report, Ho and colleagues demonstrated on motor-point biopsy denervation of the neuromuscular junction and reduction in the intramuscular nerve fiber count. Because GM1 antibodies can bind at nodes of Ranvier, they suggested that these might induce failure of electrical conduction. Quicker recovery may therefore be caused by reversible changes of the sodium channels at nodes of Ranvier as acute motor conduction block variant of AMAN or by degeneration followed by regeneration of motor nerve terminals and intramuscular axons.

Most patients with MFS recover by 6 months.<sup>83</sup> In that study, all 28 untreated MFS cases returned to normal activities with a respective median period of 32 days between onset and disappearance of ataxia and 88 days for ophthalmoplegia. In a follow-up study, Mori and colleagues<sup>83</sup> analyzed the clinical recovery of 92 patients with MFS who had been treated with IVIG (n = 28), plasmapheresis (n = 23), and no immune treatment (n = 41). Although IVIG slightly hastened the amelioration of ophthalmoplegia and ataxia, 96% of cases were free of all symptoms and signs 1 year after the onset of neurologic symptoms, whether or not they received immunotherapy. In a large case series, most of the 62 patients with BBE with and without limb weakness were given immunotherapy including steroids, plasmapheresis, and IVIG.<sup>21</sup> Six months after BBE onset, 37 (66%) of 56 for whom outcome data were available showed complete remission with no residual symptoms. A Cochrane review indicates that there are no randomized controlled trials of immunomodulatory therapy in MFS or related disorders on which to base practice.<sup>18</sup>

## Box 2

### Poor prognostic factors in GBS

1. Older age (>50–60)
2. Rapid onset before presentation (<7 days)
3. Ventilator dependency
4. Severely reduced distal CMAP amplitudes (<20% lower limit of normal)
5. Preceding infection with CMV
6. Preceding diarrheal illness or *C jejuni*
7. Erasmus GBS outcome score at 2 weeks  $\geq 5$ <sup>79</sup>
  - a. Ventilator dependence, or
  - b. Bedbound or chairbound and elderly (>60), or
  - c. Bedbound or chairbound and preceding diarrheal illness

**Case report**

A 25 year-old woman developed numbness and tingling of the feet and hands followed by progressive leg more than arm muscle weakness over the last week. She experienced a diarrheal illness 3 weeks ago that had resolved within 10 days.

Examination showed marked bifacial weakness and absent muscle stretch reflexes. She had with normal pinprick, light touch and proprioception but vibration was reduced at the toes. Leg strength is 2 to 3/5 and arm strength is 3 to 4/5, with proximal and distal weakness. She could not stand up or walk with assistance. Forced vital capacity was 2.0 liters.

Laboratory studies including vitamin B12 level and 2 hour glucose tolerance test were normal and there was no serum monoclonal protein. Cerebrospinal fluid evaluation showed no white cells but protein was 82 mg/dl. Nerve conduction studies showed 50% delay in tibial and median F wave latencies. Sensory conduction studies showed normal sural and absent median potentials.

She was started on intravenous gammaglobulin for the diagnosis of GBS. She started improving in strength 2 weeks later. She could ambulate with a walker at 2 months and independently at 6 months.

**REFERENCES**

1. Landry O. Nore sur la paralysie ascendante aigue. *Gaz Hebd Med Paris* 1859;6: 472–4.
2. Guillain G, Barré JA, Strohl A. Sur un syndrome de radiculonévrite avec hyperalbuminose du liquid céphalo-rachidien sans reaction cellulaire. Remarques sur les caractères cliniques et graphiques de reflexes tendineux. *Bull Mem Soc Med Hop Paris* 1916;40:1462–70.
3. Alter M. The epidemiology of Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1990;27:S7–12.
4. Hughes RA, Cornblath DR. Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Lancet* 2005;366(9497): 1653–66.
5. Hughes RA, Rees JH. Clinical and epidemiologic features of Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Infect Dis* 1997;176(Suppl 2):S92–8.
6. Van Koningsveld R, Van Doorn PA, Schmitz PI, et al. Mild forms of Guillain-Barré syndrome in an epidemiologic survey in The Netherlands. *Neurology* 2000;54(3): 620–5.
7. Govoni V, Granieri E. Epidemiology of the Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2001;14(5):605–13.
8. McGrogan A, Madle GC, Seaman HE, et al. The epidemiology of Guillain-Barré syndrome worldwide. A systematic literature review. *Neuroepidemiology* 2009; 32(2):150–63.
9. Hadden RD, Cornblath DR, Hughes RA, et al. Electrophysiological classification of Guillain-Barré syndrome: clinical associations and outcome. Plasma Exchange/Sandoglobulin Guillain-Barré Syndrome Trial Group. *Ann Neurol* 1998;44(5):780–8.
10. McKhann GM, Cornblath DR, Ho T, et al. Clinical and electrophysiological aspects of acute paralytic disease of children and young adults in northern China. *Lancet* 1991;338(8767):593–7.
11. McKhann GM, Cornblath DR, Griffin JW, et al. Acute motor axonal neuropathy: a frequent cause of acute flaccid paralysis in China. *Ann Neurol* 1993;33(4):333–42.
12. Ogawara K, Kuwabara S, Mori M, et al. Axonal Guillain-Barré syndrome: relation to anti-ganglioside antibodies and *Campylobacter jejuni* infection in Japan. *Ann Neurol* 2000;48(4):624–31.



13. Paradiso G, Tripoli J, Galicchio S, et al. Epidemiological, clinical, and electrodiagnostic findings in childhood Guillain-Barré syndrome: a reappraisal. *Ann Neurol* 1999;46(5):701–7.
14. Barohn RJ, Saperstein DS. Guillain-Barré syndrome and chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Semin Neurol* 1998;18(1):49–61.
15. Ropper AH, Wijdicks EF, Truax BT. Guillain-barré syndrome, contemporary neurology series 34. Clinical features of the typical syndrome. Philadelphia: FA Davis; 1991.
16. Ruts L, Drenthen J, Jongen JL, et al. Pain in Guillain-Barre syndrome: a long-term follow-up study. *Neurology* 2010;75(16):1439–47.
17. Fisher CM. An unusual variant of acute idiopathic polyneuritis (syndrome of ophthalmoplegia, ataxia and areflexia). *N Engl J Med* 1956;255:57–65.
18. Overell JR, Hsieh ST, Odaka M, et al. Treatment for Fisher syndrome, Bickerstaff's brainstem encephalitis and related disorders. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007;(1):CD004761.
19. Bickerstaff E, Cloake P. Mesencephalitis and rhombencephalitis. *Br Med J* 1951; 2:77–81.
20. Yuki N, Sato S, Tsuji S, et al. An immunologic abnormality common to Bickerstaff's brain stem encephalitis and Fisher's syndrome. *J Neurol Sci* 1993;118(1):83–7.
21. Odaka M, Yuki N, Yamada M, et al. Bickerstaff's brainstem encephalitis: clinical features of 62 cases and a subgroup associated with Guillain Barre syndrome. *Brain* 2003;126:2279–90.
22. Ito M, Kuwabara S, Odaka M, et al. Bickerstaff's brainstem encephalitis and Fisher syndrome form a continuous spectrum: clinical analysis of 581 cases. *J Neurol* 2008;255(5):674–82.
23. Ropper AH. Unusual clinical variants and signs in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Arch Neurol* 1986;43(11):1150–2.
24. Ropper AH. Further regional variants of acute immune polyneuropathy. Bilateral weakness or sixth nerve paresis with paresthesias lumbar polyradiculopathy and ataxia with pharyngeal-cervical-brachial weakness. *Arch Neurol* 1994; 51(7):671–5.
25. Feasby TE, Gilbert JJ, Brown WF, et al. An acute axonal form of Guillain-Barré polyneuropathy. *Brain* 1986;109(Pt 6):1115–26.
26. Griffin JW, Li CY, Ho TW, et al. Pathology of the motor-sensory axonal Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1996;39(1):17–28.
27. Ho TW, Mishu B, Cy Li, et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome in northern China. Relationship to *Campylobacter jejuni* infection and anti-glycolipid antibodies. *Brain* 1995; 118:597–605.
28. Hiraga A, Mori M, Ogawara K, et al. Differences in patterns of progression in demyelinating and axonal Gullain-Barre syndromes. *Neurology* 2003;61(4): 471–4.
29. Capasso M, Caporale CM, Pomilio F, et al. Acute motor conduction block neuropathy: another Gullain-Barre syndrome variant. *Neurology* 2003;61:617–22.
30. Hafer-Macko C, Sheikh KA, Li CY, et al. Immune attack on the Schwann cell surface in acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Ann Neurol* 1996; 39:625–35.
31. Griffin JW, Li CY, Macko C, et al. Early nodal changes in the acute motor axonal neuropathy pattern of the Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Neurocytol* 1996;25:33–51.
32. Ho TW, Willison HJ, Nachamkin I, et al. Anti-GD1a antibody is associated with axonal but not demyelinating forms of Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1999;45:168–73.

33. Allos BM. Association between *Campylobacter* infection and Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Infect Dis* 1997;176(Suppl 2):S25–127.
34. Magira EE, Papaioakim M, Nachamkin I, et al. Differential distribution of HLA-DQ beta/DR beta epitopes in the two forms of Guillain-Barré syndrome, acute motor axonal neuropathy and acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (AIDP): identification of DQ beta epitopes associated with susceptibility to and protection from AIDP. *J Immunol* 2003;170(60):3074–4080.
35. Schonberger LB, Bregman DJ, Sullivan-Bolyai JZ, et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome following vaccination in the National Influenza Immunization Program, United States, 1976–1977. *Am J Epidemiol* 1979;110:105–23.
36. Lasky T, Terracciano GJ, Magder L, et al. The Guillain-Barré syndrome and the 1992-1993 and 1993-1994 influenza vaccines. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339(25):1797–802.
37. Souayah N, Nasar A, Suri MF, et al. Guillain-Barre syndrome after vaccination in United States a report from the CDC/FDA Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System. *Vaccine* 2007;25(29):5253–5.
38. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preliminary results: surveillance for Guillain-Barré syndrome after receipt of influenza A (H1N1) 2009 monovalent vaccine—United States, 2009–2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2010;59(Early Release):1–5. Available at: [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm59e0602a1.htm?s\\_cid=mm59e0602a1\\_e](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm59e0602a1.htm?s_cid=mm59e0602a1_e). Accessed February 3, 2011.
39. Fiore AE, Uyeki TM, Broder K, et al, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Prevention and control of influenza with vaccines: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), 2010. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2010;59(RR-8):1–62.
40. Pritchard J, Mukherjee R, Hughes RA. Risk of relapse of Guillain-Barré syndrome or chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy following immunisation. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002;73(3):348–9.
41. Asbury AK, Cornblath DR. Assessment of current diagnostic criteria for Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1990;27(Suppl):S21–4.
42. Albers JW, Donofrio PD, McGonagle TK. Sequential electrodiagnostic abnormalities in acute inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 1985;8(6):528–39.
43. Van den Bergh PY, Piéret F. Electrodiagnostic criteria for acute and chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 2004;29(4):565–74.
44. Albers JW, Kelly JJ Jr. Acquired inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathies: clinical and electrodiagnostic features. *Muscle Nerve* 1989;12(6):435–51.
45. Wiederholt WC, Mulder DW, Lambert EH. The Landry-Guillain-Barré-Srohl syndrome or polyradiculoneuropathy. Historical review, report on 97 cases and present concepts. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1964;39:427–51.
46. Ropper AH. The Guillain-Barré syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 1992;326(17):1130–6.
47. Anders BJ, Lauer BA, Paisley JW, et al. Double-blind placebo controlled trial of erythromycin for treatment of *Campylobacter enteritis*. *Lancet* 1982;1(8264):131–2.
48. Kuwabara S, Yuki N, Koga M, et al. IgG anti-GM1 antibody is associated with reversible conduction failure and axonal degeneration in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1998;44(2):202–8.
49. Oomes PG, Jacobs BC, Hazenberg MP, et al. Anti-GM1 IgG antibodies and *Campylobacter* bacteria in Guillain-Barré syndrome: evidence of molecular mimicry. *Ann Neurol* 1995;38(2):170–5.

50. Vriesendorp FJ, Mishu B, Blaser MJ, et al. Serum antibodies to GM1, GD1b, peripheral nerve myelin, and *Campylobacter jejuni* in patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome and controls: correlation and prognosis. *Ann Neurol* 1993;34(2):130–5.
51. Willison HJ, Veitch J, Paterson G, et al. Miller Fisher syndrome is associated with serum antibodies to GQ1b ganglioside. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1993;56(2):204–6.
52. Yuki N, Sato S, Tsuji S, et al. Frequent presence of anti-GQ1b antibody in Fisher's syndrome. *Neurology* 1993;43(2):414–7.
53. Morgan GW, Barohn RJ, Bazan C, et al. Nerve root enhancement with MRI in inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Neurology* 1993;43(3 Pt 1):618–20.
54. Gorson KC, Ropper AH, Muriello MA, et al. Prospective evaluation of MRI lumbosacral nerve root enhancement in acute Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Neurology* 1996;47(3):813–7.
55. Hughes RA, Wijidicks EF, Benson E, et al, Multidisciplinary Consensus Group. Supportive care for patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Arch Neurol* 2005;62(8):1194–8.
56. French Cooperative Group on Plasma Exchange in Guillain-Barré Syndrome. Efficiency of plasma exchange in Guillain-Barré syndrome: role of replacement fluids. *Ann Neurol* 1987;22:753–61.
57. The Guillain-Barré syndrome Study Group. Plasmapheresis and acute Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Neurology* 1985;35(8):1096–104.
58. French Cooperative Group on Plasma Exchange in Guillain-Barré Syndrome. Appropriate number of plasma exchanges in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1997;41:298–306.
59. Dalakas MC. Intravenous immunoglobulin in autoimmune neuromuscular diseases. *JAMA* 2004;291(19):2367–75.
60. Anthony RM, Ravetch JV. A novel role for the IgG Fc glycan: the anti-inflammatory activity of sialylated IgG Fcs. *J Clin Immunol* 2010;30(Suppl 1):S9–14.
61. van der Meché FG, Schmitz PI. The Dutch Guillain-Barré Study Group. A randomized trial comparing intravenous immune globulin and plasma exchange in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 1992;326:1123–9.
62. Plasma Exchange and Sandoglobulin Guillain-Barré; Syndrome Trial Group. Randomized trial of plasma exchange, intravenous immunoglobulin and combined treatments in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Lancet* 1997;349:225–30.
63. Irani DN, Cornblath DR, Chaudhry V, et al. Relapse in Guillain-Barré syndrome after treatment with human immune globulin. *Neurology* 1993;43(5):872–5.
64. Castro LH, Ropper AH. Human immune globulin infusion in Guillain-Barré syndrome: worsening during and after treatment. *Neurology* 1993;43(5):1034–6.
65. Hughes RA. Plasma exchange versus intravenous immunoglobulin for Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ther Apher* 1997;1:129–30.
66. Hughes RA, Newsom-Davis JM, Perkin GD, et al. Controlled trial prednisolone in acute polyneuropathy. *Lancet* 1978;2(8093):750–3.
67. Guillain-Barré Syndrome Steroid Trial Group. Double-blind trial of intravenous methylprednisolone in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Lancet* 1993;341(8845):586–90.
68. The Dutch Guillain-Barré Study Group. Treatment of Guillain-Barré syndrome with high-dose immune globulins combined with methylprednisolone: a pilot study. *Ann Neurol* 1994;35(6):749–52.
69. van Koningsveld R, Schmitz PI, Meché FG, et al. Effect of methylprednisolone when added to standard treatment with intravenous immunoglobulin for Guillain-Barré syndrome: randomised trial. *Lancet* 2004;363(9404):192–6.

70. Hughes RA, Wijdicks EF, Barohn R, et al. Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Practice parameter: immunotherapy for Guillain-Barré syndrome: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology* 2003;61(6):736–40.
71. Rees JH, Thompson RD, Smeeton NC, et al. Epidemiological study of Guillain-Barré syndrome in south east England. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1998;64(1):74–7.
72. Dornonville de la Cour C, Jakobsen J. Residual neuropathy in long-term population-based follow-up of Guillain-Barre syndrome. *Neurology* 2005;64(2):246–53.
73. Vedeler CA, Wik E, Nyland H. The long-term prognosis of Guillain-Barre syndrome. Evaluation of prognostic factors including plasma exchange. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1997;95:298–302.
74. Koeppen S, Kraywinkel K, Wessendorf TE, et al. Long-term outcome of Guillain-Barre syndrome. *Neurocrit Care* 2006;5:235–42.
75. Ruts L, Drenthen J, Jacobs BC, et al, Dutch GBS Study Group. Distinguishing acute-onset CIDP from fluctuating Guillain-Barre syndrome: a prospective study. *Neurology* 2010;74(21):1680–6.
76. Kuitwaard K, de Gelder J, Tio-Gillen AP, et al. Pharmacokinetics of intravenous immunoglobulin and outcome in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 2009;66(5):597–603.
77. McKhann GM, Griffin JW, Cornblath DR, et al. Plasmapheresis and Guillain-Barré syndrome: analysis of prognostic factors and the effect of plasmapheresis. *Ann Neurol* 1988;23(4):347–53.
78. Visser LH, Schmitz PI, Meulstee J, et al. Dutch Guillain-Barré Study Group. Prognostic factors of Guillain-Barré syndrome after intravenous immunoglobulin or plasma exchange. *Neurology* 1999;53(3):598–604.
79. van Koningsveld R, Steyerberg EW, Hughes RA, et al. A clinical prognostic scoring system for Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Lancet* 2007;6(7):589–94.
80. Walgaard C, Lingsma HF, Ruts L, et al. Early recognition of poor prognosis in Guillain-Barre syndrome. *Neurology* 2011;76(11):968–75.
81. Ho TW, Li CY, Cornblath DR, et al. Patterns of recovery in the Guillain-Barre syndromes. *Neurology* 1997;48(3):695–700.
82. Ho TW, Hsieh ST, Nachamkin I, et al. Motor nerve terminal degeneration provides a potential mechanism for rapid recovery in acute motor axonal neuropathy after *Campylobacter* infection. *Neurology* 1997;48:717–24.
83. Mori M, Kuwabara S, Fukutake T, et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy for Miller Fisher syndrome. *Neurology* 2007;68(14):1144–6.